PRESENT:

Jay Diener, Chair Peter Tilton, Jr., Vice Chair Diane Shaw, alternate Nathan Page, alternate Pat Swank, alternate

Also Present: Mark Olson, Planning Board Representative

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman, Jay Diener, at the Town Hall Selectman's Meeting Room.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:

MOTION by Mr. Tilton to approve the January 28, 2014 minutes, with edits provided.

SECOND by Mr. Page

VOTE: 4 in favor 1 Abstain (Swank)

APPOINTMENTS – There were no appointments this evening

APPLICATIONS:

- 1. 1042 Ocean Blvd. Mark and Janet Gazek. Agent Sandpiper Environmental Services, LLC. Additional grading and construction of a retaining wall. This is an Amended Special Permit Application. <u>POSTPONED</u>. Applicant has requested review at the March Public Hearing.
- 2. 571 Winnacunnet Road Sandpiper Bay Condominiums. Agent Jones and Beach. Replacement of a section of drain pipe that was improperly installed with 12" of piping instead of 15". This is a Town Special Permit and a Standard Dredge and Fill application.

Michael Kerivan, Jones and Beach Engineering, reviewed the process of drain pipe replacement with the DPW and Planning Board. He stated that he looked at the plans on the 571 Winnacunnet Road side. There was a drain with a 15" pipe; however at the surveyed end there was a 12" PVC pipe. He indicated there was an incorrect measurement

by survey and, by having a TV camera go in, the discrepancy was discovered. After talking with the Town, he stated, he would like to tie in where the 15" pipe ends, replacing the 12" pipe with 15" pipe. The drainage outfall pipe along Winnacunnet Road discharges at 571 Winnacunnet into Eel Creek, which is the undersized section. The restriction causes water to back up at 571 Winnacunnet Road and within Winnacunnet Road. The project will upsize the outfall, so the pipe diameter is uniform throughout the drain line. A new drain manhole will be installed in order to re-direct the 15" outfall to the correct location, and the headwall will be rebuilt.

Chris Jacobs, DPW Director, stated in an e-mail to the Conservation Coordinator that he has been kept updated on the proposal and has agreed with the design, according to Mr. Kerivan.

PUBLIC COMMENT: There was no public comment

MOTION: Mr. Tilton moved not to oppose the NHDES Standard Dredge and Fill Application for 571 Winnacunnet Road, Sandpiper Bay Condominiums with the following stipulations:

- ➤ Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is complete. Silt fence and hay bales (salt hay bales for tidal areas);
- > The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit is issued. A final inspection will be scheduled with the Conservation Coordinator upon completion of the project.

SECOND: Mr. Page

VOTE: 4 in Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstain (Diener)

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to recommend that the Planning Board grant the Special Permit Application for 571 Winnacunnet Road, Sandpiper Bay Condominiums for replacement of a section of drain pipe that was improperly installed with 12" piping instead of 15", with the following stipulations:

- Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is complete. Silt fence and hay bales (salt hay bales for tidal areas);
- > The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit is issued. Schedule a final inspection with the Conservation Coordinator upon completion of the project.

SECOND: Mr. Tilton

VOTE: 4 in Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstain (Diener)

3. 630 Lafayette Road. Hannaford Brothers Co. Agent – Stoney Ridge Environmental, LLC. Maintenance dredge of an existing detention basin to preserve and maintain sediment settling capacity and storm water treatment capabilities. This is a Town Special Permit and a Minimum Expedited Permit application.

Ms. Cindy Balcius, representing Stoney Ridge Environmental, LLC, stated she is representing Hannaford Brothers, Co. for this application for a Special Permit for a maintenance dredge of the existing detention pond which is located on the northwest corner of the property. This will include removing the accumulated sediment in order to restore the pond's storm water treatment capability. She noted that Hannaford Brothers came before the Commission in 2005 for the same type of project. Further, the proposed wetland impact would be 10,000 SF of dredge in order to maintain. She pointed out that this is a wetlands district; however, the wetlands that are noted on-site are the detention pond and the drainage ditch. No other wetlands are noted.

Special Permits were received in 2005 and 2006 and at that time, work was done on a stream restoration project along the parking lot and a berm was repaired to the pond. Since that time, Stoney Ridge has been doing yearly monitoring, noting that the stabilization of the stream has worked and the buffer has been replaced. This, she said, was observed on the site walk.

On question of Mr. Diener, Ms. Balcius stated that all dredged materials will be taken off site.

Mr. Tilton said he was happy with the restoration when they previously appeared before the Commission.

MINUTES

Mr. Page questioned the need for a Special Permit for maintenance.

Ms. Swank noted she is pleased with the materials used for the buffer.

Mr. Diener spoke to the question of why one has to complete the requirement for a Special

Permit when it is for a facility that is required to be maintained.

Mr. Tilton stated that the Commission should be notified and given an overview; however,

also questioned whether this should be an actual Special Permit application.

Mr. Page asked whether there is an annual maintenance report, and Ms. Balcius stated that

a yearly report is submitted. Mr. Diener noted that, as a stipulation of the Special Permit,

yearly maintenance is required and reported.

Mr. Diener stated that NHDES Permits are required; and, the Conservation Commission

will be aware of the permits as they will come through the Commission.

PUBLIC COMMENT: There was no public comment.

MOTION: Mr. Tilton moved to have the Chairman sign the Minimum Expedited

Permit Application of Hannaford Brothers, Co, 630 Lafayette Road with the

following stipulations:

Materials removed from the retention pond will be removed off site;

Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and

remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is

complete. Silt fence and hay bales are recommended;

The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon

commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit

is issued. A fin inspection will be scheduled with the Conservation Coordinator

upon completion of the project.

SECOND: Mr. Page

VOTE:

4 In favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstain (Diener)

4

MINUTES

MOTION: Mr. Tilton moved to recommend that the Planning Board grant the Special Permit Application for Hannaford Brothers, Co. with the following

stipulations:

Materials removed from the retention pond will be removed off site;

Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and \triangleright remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is

complete. Silt fence and hay bales are recommended;

The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon

commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit

A final inspection will be scheduled with the Conservation

Coordinator upon completion of the project.

The Conservation Commission further recommends that future maintenance

dredging is allowed to be undertaken without requiring additional Special Permits

with the following stipulations:

No additional work shall be included beyond maintenance dredging;

The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing 30 days prior

to the commencement of such dredging;

Materials removed from the retention pond will be removed off site;

 \triangleright Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and

remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is

complete. Silt fence and hay bales are recommended;

The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon

commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit

is issued. A final inspection will be scheduled with the Conservation

Coordinator upon completion of the project.

SECOND: Mrs. Swank

4 In favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstain (Diener)

5

4. Stowecroft /Dalton Woods. Lloyd Graves and Green & Co. Agent – Jones and Beach Engineering. Proposed 13-lot subdivision that will involve the construction of a gravel wetland and replanting trees around a smaller wetland that will be partially impacted. There will be 680 sf of wetland impacts and 5,280 sf of buffer impacts. This is a Town Special Permit and Standard Dredge and Fill Application.

Present were Michael Green of Green & Co., - Michael Kerivan, Jones and Beach Engineering, and Jim Gove, Gove Environmental Service.

Mr. Kerivan stated that abutters have brought forth their concerns for their properties south of Stowecroft Drive. He stated that a two-foot high berm will be constructed and planted with trees, as shown on the plan provided. He noted the grading is there; however, just a portion of the berm is shown. Further on the west side of Richardson Drive there will be a one foot deep by two foot wide swale within the rear setback. There will be a drainage easement, and a landscaped berm easement with a 10 foot set back from the lots.

Mr. Gove stated that he has reviewed the natural resource information and looked at the boundary of the wetlands to see if it encompassed all the poorly drained soils. The boundaries of areas of poorly and very poorly drained soils have been added to the plan. He said there is some upslope of the wetland boundary which is reflected on the plan. 109-115 soil observations were made to establish the boundary. He used an aerial photograph to demonstrate the small wetlands shown in a stand of trees.

He further reported this area is off the NH Granite System (UNH Mapping System) and shows water bodies and wetlands and an open field. It is interesting, he said, because it appears it drains in two directions. Old River goes under Stowecroft Drive and heads in a westerly direction then heads south west. There is a smaller tributary that comes out of a pond and heads to the Winnicut River. He noted there are no prime wetlands, nor are there any endangered or threatened species in the area. Also, the Fish and Game has not listed this as a habitat area in the Wildlife Action Plan. Further, soils are "33" in the wetlands portion and "26" in well-drained sand.

A proposed gravel wetland system was added to the plan for those areas being impacted. There is more than a one-to-one creation adjacent to the isolated wetland as it comes in from the circle. The area has a lot of top soil, at least 7 to 12 inches, which is a great starter. The topsoil will be removed and saved. After it is graded, it will be brought back in and will be added to the area. It is proposed to plant a scrub shrubs and trees in the area, with 80 plants and shrubs as well as a wetland seed mixture.

Mr. Gove continued by stating that, from the standpoint of the gravel wetland, it has moved further away from the wetland and is all in the upland. There was some confusion and concern about the failure of the walls of the wetlands. He noted that a gravel wetland is a wetland and not a pond. A wetland functions as a wetland; however, extreme events would cause excess water. Further, a gravel wetland has a typical spillway. Putting in a standard wide rip rap emergency spillway will take the excess water away; and, because it functions as a wetland, it should be planted as a wetland. The proposal for 641 trees and shrubs is dense; however, he is a believer that some plantings will die, so overplanting will provide good, thick coverage.

Mr. Gove showed examples and described the process of a two-bay gravel wetland stating that water travels through gravel, goes up to the stand pipe, down, and passes through. He then explained wetland vegetation of New England wet mix, grasses, reed plants, and shrubs. He then showed an example, from a previous project, of a dense wetland during different seasons supported by aerial photographs. Lastly he stated that a gravel wetland system will function as a wetland. And, focusing on dogwood, blueberry, winterberry, and viburnham plantings, they will become a part of a wetland complex.

Mr. Diener said he assumed he is looking at a two-bay system in the aerial photo example of the previous project. Mr. Gove agreed and continued by saying, with regard to sediment, the maintenance of catch basins and having a fore-bay system, one will never have to go back in and rip it up. As long as sediment is kept out, it will continue to function as a viable wetland. Maintenance is low if sediments are kept out of catch basins.

Mr. Diener questioned overplanting, and Mr. Gove stated there is a rationale for overplanting in that it is fine to have. With a denser planting on the berm and adjacent edges it does provide more of a habitat and gives an eco-tone by taking up more of the water and nutrients.

Mr. Olson questioned whether, in a gravel wetland, there should be a weir which would take water away. Mr. Gove responded, stating it is a broad rip rap and will blend to the upland area where water will flow to the wetland area. Also, it will act as a volume dissipater with remarkable capacity.

Mr. Gove noted that in the above described previous project (Lowe's/Target), the system is set up to take, and does take, everything.

Mr. Olson questioned plantings to be used in the project being discussed this evening. Mr. Gove stated that plants will be specified to be the two-gallon size, noting that bare root

often dies. Depending on the species, the plantings will range from 18 inches to 2 feet high.

Mr. Tilton noted that he is better educated, and now feels the proposed system for this project is a good one; however, responsibility for maintenance continues to be a concern. Mr. Tilton also stated he likes the idea of the berm on the east end.

Mr. Kerivan stated that maintenance will be up to the homeowners association. He also stated there has been an operations manual developed for the Planning Board which states that homeowners will be required to have the system inspected once a year by an engineer. A report shall be submitted to the Town on December 31st of each year. He noted the homeowners will have to hire a private inspector who is qualified in erosion control. This stipulation will also be included in the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) documents.

On question of Mr. Page, Mr. Kerivan stated that the catch basins in the streets will be turned over to the town. It will be under the control of the Association to monitor and make sure the catch basins are kept clean. The catch basins are included in the O & M Manual.

Mr. Page stated he likes the idea of the berm and wants to make sure water contained on this property is kept off the neighbor's properties.

Mr. Diener pointed out that there is a significant hill on Lot 2, and questioned how one would know that the swale along the property edge will contain water coming off the hill and not go onto an abutter's property. Mr. Green stated that most of the hill will be taken down and looking at the grades, there should not be that much water. Further, the grades will be toward the street.

Mr. Diener, commenting on the weir coming off the wetlands, asked if something could be installed such as a small berm, to ensure water goes toward the wetland, not someone's property

Mr. Gove stated he likes the concept of going through the upland, infusing it more before going to the wetland.

Ms. Swank said she is concerned with keeping the catch basins clean and questioned how homeowners will know that maintenance will be necessary. Mr. Olson stated there is a system within the Planning Board to keep track, and the Planning Board has a list of requirements for O & M groups. Further, the requirements are tied to the Deed.

Mr. Diener stated that, in the past, the Conservation Coordinator spoke to the head of a new Homeowners Association regarding snow storage, and that person was unaware of the

requirements in their O & M manual. Further, the Town will have to clean the catch basins annually. Further, the fore-bay is not the Town's responsibility, only the catch basins.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Judith Clarklin, an abutter at 25 Stowecroft Drive forwarded a letter, received 2/25/14, stating there will be negative environmental effects including drainage problems from the construction of 13 houses, driveways, etc. She spoke of her many concerns to this construction project. Letter on file with Conservation Coordinator.

David Drolet of 34 Stowecroft Drive spoke of the wetlands on Fieldstone Drive and how the whole area is surrounded by wetlands and of the current problems with the flow of water. He is happy to hear of the berm and swale but only if the pitch works. He questioned who owns the stone wall along the property line.

Mr. Olson, referring to Map 41, stated that all the lots on Fieldstone have the stone wall and ownership may not be known.

Mr. Diener stated that most of the wetland will remain, and the portion where the road will go will be removed. Plantings will be used for mitigation. He questioned the percentage of wetlands being removed. Mr. Kerivan stated that 580 sf will be removed which is one-third of the soil. That area will be replanted to recreate one- to-one of the wetlands poorly drained impact.

Mr. Drolet questioned why the wetlands cannot stay, and Mr. Kerivan said they are not removing all, just by where the road comes in. They are trying to keep at the same size so it will function as it is functioning now where water will collect.

Mr. Drolet also questioned the amount of blasting. Mr. Kerivan stated that he is not sure how much blasting will be required, and the blasting that does occur would be mostly off the wood line into the woods. Further, blasters are required to do pre-blast surveys and take photos so, if anything happens, insurance will cover damage, if any.

Mr. Diener stated that mechanisms are in place and issues such as bonding are topics for the Planning Board.

Mr. Drolet spoke of standing water in his front yard and asks that these problems be discussed prior to any approvals. He suggested waiting until spring when the ground has thawed to go out and see the land wet. He also suggested talking with the people who have concerns. He spoke to stop signs, etc., and Mr. Diener stated that the Planning Board will consider these other issues when the project is before that Board.

Mrs. Louise Drolet, 34 Stowecroft Drive, spoke of her concerns with the water flowing through her property, and the possibility of a flooded basement because there is nowhere else for the water to go.

Mr. Page stated that it is not relevant whether a home is a million-dollar home or a mobile home, people are all treated the same. Further, that Green & Co., puts up a nice product, and the Boards involved want to make sure this project is done right.

Regarding updating plans, Mr. Gove stated that application is not required by the State, that more lies with the Conservation Commission and Planning Board and there is not an issue with updating plans.

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to send a letter to NHDES not to oppose granting the Standard Dredge and Fill Application of Stowecroft/Dalton Woods.

SECOND: Ms. Shaw

Amendment to the motion: Make note of fact that a berm has been suggested in front of the emergency spillway to direct overflow to the wetland and away from abutting properties.

AMENDED MOTION: Mr. Page moved to send a letter to NHDES not to oppose granting the Standard Dredge and Fill Application of Stowecroft/Dalton Woods, with stipulations (including amendment) as follow:

- A berm is to be constructed deflecting emergency overflow northwest to the adjacent wetlands and away from abutting properties;
- The O & M Manual shall specify that a professional engineer be required to complete the required annual inspections of the subdivision's drainage features.
- Monumentation at 50 foot intervals along the wetland boundaries;
- ➤ Use of Wetlands Conservation District markers along the wetland buffer at 50 foot intervals and on each lot line at owner's expense;
- ➤ Lawn care must follow the guidelines set forth in the NHDES Shoreland Protection Act (Env-WQ 1400). No storage of grass clippings or vard waste in the wetland or its buffer;
- Removal of trees that are not dead, diseased, or unsafe must be performed in compliance with NHDES Shoreline Protection Act, Section Env-Wq 1403.05;
- All proposed plantings shall have at least 75% success after two (2) growing seasons. Any plants that do not survive shall be replanted or replaced with another suitable plant species;

- Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is complete. Silt fence and hay bales (salt hay bales for tidal areas);
- The buffer should remain undisturbed to the degree possible in the process of construction and elevations not be changed. No additional fill is allowed. No change in elevation is allowed;
- > Spot elevation grades shall be submitted pre-and post-construction;
- There are to be no additional structures such as sheds, swimming pools, gazeboes, patios or other sealed surface, etc. in the buffer, other than that shown on the approved plan. A new Special Permit is required for the erection of any additional structure(s) in the buffer;
- > The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit is issued.
- > Schedule a final inspection with the Conservation Coordinator upon completion of the project;
- An As-Built Plan shall be submitted following project completion; and
- This permit will expire two years from the date that it is granted by the Planning Board. Refer to Hampton Zoning Ordinance, Section 2.3.5 for information on permit extensions.

SECOND TO AMENDED MOTION: Ms. Shaw

VOTE 3 In favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstain (Shaw and Tilton)

MOTION: Mr. Page moved to recommend that the Planning Board grant the Special Permit for Stowecroft/Dalton Woods, with the following stipulations:

- > A berm is to be constructed deflecting emergency overflow northwest to the adjacent wetlands and away from abutting properties;
- > The O & M Manual shall specify that a professional engineer be required to complete the required annual inspections of the subdivision's drainage features.
- ➤ Monumentation at 50 foot intervals along the wetland boundaries;
- ➤ Use of Wetlands Conservation District markers along the wetland buffer at 50 foot intervals and on each lot line at the owner's expense;
- ➤ Lawn care must follow the guidelines set forth in the NHDES Shoreland Protection Act (Env-WQ 1400). No storage of grass clippings or yard waste in the wetland or its buffer;

- ➤ Removal of trees that are not dead, diseased, or unsafe must be performed in compliance with NHDES Shoreline Protection Act, Section Env-Wq 1403.05;
- ➤ All proposed plantings shall have at least 75% success after two (2) growing seasons. Any plants that do not survive shall be replanted or replaced with another suitable plant species;
- > Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and remain in place until the area is stabilized and removed after construction is complete. Silt fence and hay bales (salt hay bales for tidal areas);
- ➤ The buffer should remain undisturbed to the degree possible in the process of construction and elevations not be changed. No additional fill is allowed. No change in elevation is allowed;
- > Spot elevation grades shall be submitted pre-and post-construction;
- > There are to be no additional structures such as sheds, swimming pools, gazeboes, patios or other sealed surface, etc. in the buffer, other than that shown on the approved plan. A new Special Permit is required for the erection of any additional structure(s) in the buffer;
- ➤ The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon commencement and completion of the project and before an occupancy permit is issued;
- > Schedule a final inspection with the Conservation Coordinator upon completion of the project;
- ➤ An As-Built Plan shall be submitted following project completion; and
- ➤ This permit will expire two years from the date that it is granted by the Planning Board. Refer to Hampton Zoning Ordinance, Section 2.3.5 for information on permit extensions.

SECOND: Ms. Swank

VOTE: 3 In favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstain (Shaw and Tilton)

Mr. Diener stated that the issues have been dealt with reasonably, and the Town Special Permit will be dealt with by the Planning Board. Further, there is one more PRC meeting and sign-offs will be obtained from multiple departments.

Mr. Diener asked if lots will be sold prior to all the stipulations being met. Mr. Green stated there is no intent to sell the lots because his company will put in the roads and build the homes.

MINUTES

5. 48 Hobson Avenue, Kelly Ford. This is an After-The-Fact application for the installation of a 10' x 8' deck in place of the existing 6' x 8' deck. This is a Town

Special Permit. The applicant, Mr. Ford, was not present at the meeting.

Mr. Page reported that this application must be acted upon; the applicants have not been in

contact with Town boards; nor, have there been any attempts to file the NHDES permit

applications.

Mr. Diener stated that at this time, due to a recent RSA change, the Commission cannot

hold up the review of a Town application while waiting for a State Permit to be filed.

Mr. Diener also stated that an application was sent directly to the NHDES and not through

the Town Clerk, as required. He reviewed the application stating that this is a violation that began in 2011 when the owner tore down an existing deck and had a building permit

to replace it with the same size/configuration deck. However, they started to construct a

larger deck. He stated the deck is not permitted, nor is there any indication as to where the

stairs will be. Further, the deck is slightly larger and there has been no offer of mitigation for its expansion. Mr. Denier stated that there is a shed close to the edge of the wetlands,

and discussion was held about moving the shed back, but there is no indication as to

whether or not the owner would agree to that or any mitigation.

Mr. Denier stated that no work can be done on the deck without permits and, if the deck

was proposed to be the same size as the original, a Special Permit would not be required.

It was noted a letter was sent from the Town's Legal Department to the property owner on February 21, 2013. Even if the Town were to approve this Special Permit, the owners would

still need NHDES permit for the enlarged deck.

PUBLIC COMMENT: There was no public comment.

MOTION: Mr. Tilton moved to recommend the Planning Board deny the Special

Permit due to lack of information from the applicant and the lack of cooperation from

the property owner with the Town Boards involved.

SECOND: Mr. Page

VOTE: 4 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 Abstain (Diener)

NEW BUSINESS:

Trail construction and maintenance. a.

13

Pat Swank stated that she is interested in learning more about easements, as well as Town owned lands including Hurd, Batchelder, Ice Pond, and White's Lane. She stated she has looked at information on the Web Site, but would like to know more about boundaries, parking restrictions, etc. She has offered to bring forward a plan that would help make these properties more accessible to the public, as well as provide more information on Town owned land. She is suggesting additional information become available on the Web Site, and will meet with Mr. Diener and Mrs. Dionne for further discussion.

b. Community Forest – Whites Lane – Community Forest at Twelve Share.

Mr. Diener spoke of a committee of residents to help establish a Town Forest which may include marking trails, placing signage, and maintenance of plantings and trees. Mr. Tilton noted that the Recreation Department has been trying to obtain land for more sports fields; and, that the Conservation Commission has very few areas left for conservation and natural habitat. It was suggested that homeowners in the Twelve Share area become involved in volunteer efforts with the possibility they may grant easements to portions of their properties. Mr. Diener provided the Commission with a memo outlining "Creating A Community Forest in Hampton, NH", which is a part of the Hampton Master Plan, Natural Resources Chapter, Section 8-3.

The Commission unanimously approved implementation of the above: trail construction and maintenance, expanding the Web Site, and Community Forest.

- c. Joining the NH Association of Natural Resource Scientists NHANRS Postponed to March
- d. As-Built Requirements Postponed
- e. Open Space Committee Postponed
- **Signage** Mr. Diener presented two sign samples, and suggested they be shown to the Selectmen for approval. They would be made up by the DPW and posted on Townowned property only.
- **g. NHACC DUES:** After discussion of benefits associated with membership in the NHACC, including Newsletters and lobbying, the Commission agreed to continue paying dues in the amount of \$740.00 for another year.

CONSERVATION COORDINATOR and CHAIR UPDATE

Mr. Denier reported that three meetings of interest are to be held at the Portsmouth Brewery from 6-8 p.m. as follow:

<u>March 5</u> – "Tides – They are Changing"; <u>April 9</u> – "Rain, Roads, and Runoff"; <u>April 23</u> – "Changing Nature of Families"

TREASURER's REPORT - No Treasurer's Report this Month.

ADJOURNMENT: MOTION: Mr. Page moved to adjourn the meeting.

SECOND: Mr. Diener

VOTE: 5 In favor 0 Opposed

The meeting was adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

THE NEXT CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD ON MARCH 25, 2014

Respectfully Submitted, Anne Marchand, Recorder